

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

*CA* FILED \_\_\_\_\_ ENTERED \_\_\_\_\_  
LODGED \_\_\_\_\_ RECEIVED \_\_\_\_\_

SA FEB - 4 2003

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

SEMI OSMAN )

Defendant. )

Case CR02-175Z  
January 30, 2003  
10:15 a.m.

AT SEATTLE  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
BY DEPUT

**ORIGINAL**

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the United States: ANDREW HAMILTON  
Attorney at Law

On Behalf of the Defendant: ROBERT LEEN  
Attorney at Law

Also Present: Paula Yuhasz  
Probation Officer

Caroline R. Castle  
Official Court Reporter  
(206) 553-1899

CR 02-00175 #00000051

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript  
produced by computer.

51

1 Seattle, Washington; Thursday, January 30, 2003; 10:15 a.m.

2 THE COURT: Would the clerk please call the calendar.

3 THE CLERK: Case CR02-175Z, United States versus Semi  
4 Osman.

5 Counsel, please make your appearances.

6 MR. HAMILTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Representing  
7 the United States, Andrew Hamilton.

8 MR. LEEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert Leen  
9 appearing on behalf of the defendant.

10 THE COURT: This is a continuation of the sentencing  
11 hearing that we started on January 24th.

12 The government ready to proceed with the evidentiary  
13 hearing?

14 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor. We would call  
15 Special Agent Darrick Smalley.

16 (The witness is sworn.)

17 THE WITNESS: I do.

18 THE CLERK: Take the stand, please. Will you state  
19 your name for the record and spell your last name, please.

20 THE WITNESS: My name is Darrick D. Smalley.

21 D-a-r-r-i-c-k D. S-m-a-l-l-e-y.

22 DARRICK D. SMALLEY, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. HAMILTON:

25 Q What is your occupation?

1 A I'm a Senior Special Agent with the United States  
2 Immigration and Naturalization Service.

3 Q And where are you currently assigned?

4 A I'm assigned as a member of the Joint Terrorist Task Force  
5 here in Seattle, Washington.

6 Q How long have you been a member of the INS?

7 A I've been a member of the INS since June of 1988.

8 Q Have you participated in an investigation of a defendant by  
9 the name of Semi Osman?

10 A Yes, I have.

11 Q And are you familiar with the records and files contained in  
12 this particular investigation?

13 A Yes, I am.

14 Q For purposes of this hearing, can you identify Mr. Osman?  
15 Is he in court today?

16 A Yes, he is. He is the defendant sitting next to Mr. Leen.

17 Q Can you explain to the Court what is meant by an A-file?

18 A An A-file is a record of an alien, someone who is not a  
19 citizen of the United States, although sometimes that A-file  
20 becomes part of a citizen's record. It's a file of the  
21 Immigration and Naturalization Service's interactions with  
22 aliens.

23 Q And are you familiar with Mr. Osman's A-file?

24 A Yes, I am.

25 Q Special Agent Smalley, do you know where Mr. Osman

1 originally was born?

2 A In Sierra Leone, West Africa.

3 Q And what were the circumstances surrounding his first entry  
4 to the United States?

5 A He entered in the United States on--as--carrying a British  
6 passport, December 22nd of 1988 at New York City.

7 Q And did he have any type of visa that permitted him this  
8 entry?

9 A It was a visa waiver, as I recall. Or could have been a  
10 B-2 visa. Just a moment.

11 He entered as a B-2 visitor.

12 Q What does that mean?

13 A It's a visitor for pleasure. Typically, people that are  
14 admitted as B-2 visitors are admitted to the United States for a  
15 period of six months.

16 Q If a person enters the U.S. with a B-2 visa and they stay  
17 past the six months, what is their status in the United States?

18 A Well, they'd be illegally residing in the United States, if  
19 they had not gotten an extension. They would be placed into  
20 removal proceedings, if they were encountered by any of the  
21 officers in the Immigration Service.

22 Q Does the A-file for Mr. Osman indicate whether or not he  
23 ever obtained a visa extension?

24 A No, it does not.

25 Q Now, I want to direct your attention to July 25th of 1990.

1 What event, if any, occurred involving Mr. Osman on that day?

2 A On July 25th of 1990, Semi Osman married Curly Britt, a  
3 United States citizen, in Maryland.

4 Q And is that this woman's actual name: Curly, last name  
5 Britt?

6 A Originally she was born Curly Edwards, I believe. And I  
7 think she had her name changed when she was about 30 years old.

8 Q And then following this marriage in July of 1990, what, if  
9 anything, did Mr. Osman do as far as the INS?

10 A Well, he filled out a Form I-485, which is an application  
11 for permanent residence, to change his status from his previous  
12 status. He also filled out a Form I-130, which is a petition  
13 for an alien spouse. And those were then filed with the  
14 Immigration and Naturalization Service.

15 Q What effect does a marriage have upon an alien's immigration  
16 status? If an alien marries a U.S. citizen, what effect does  
17 that have?

18 A Well, immigration is based usually on family reunification.  
19 In many cases, people have to wait many years for a visa to be  
20 available. When you marry a United States citizen, there is no  
21 waiting for a visa number to be available with the Department of  
22 State. You're immediately issued a visa number which allows you  
23 to become a permanent resident immediately.

24 Q And what happens as far as a person's status in the United  
25 States once this marriage occurs and they file this paperwork?

1 A Once they file it and that petition is approved, they  
2 are--initially before their approval, they're given at least  
3 status because they've filed this document. It makes them  
4 legal.

5 Once that petition has been approved, it grants them legal  
6 status in the United States as a permanent resident. And it  
7 begins the process for them to become a naturalized United  
8 States citizen, if they so choose to do so.

9 Q And how long does it take following this type of marriage  
10 for a person to become naturalized?

11 A Well, it's actually a faster process by marrying a United  
12 States citizen. Because it only takes you three years before  
13 you can apply for naturalization, whereas most other people have  
14 to wait for five years.

15 Q Now, are you familiar with a statement made by Curly Britt,  
16 who married Mr. Osman in 1990? A statement that was taken on  
17 October 1st of 1991?

18 A Yes, I am.

19 Q And do you know where this particular statement was taken of  
20 Ms. Britt?

21 A I believe it was taken by agents at the Philadelphia office  
22 of the Immigration and Naturalization Service. And it was  
23 actually executed, I believe, at her residence in Philadelphia,  
24 Pennsylvania.

25 Q And in this statement did Ms. Britt make statements

1 concerning her marriage to Semi Osman?

2 A Yes, she did.

3 Q What did she say?

4 A Well, she stated that they got married July 25th of 1990 in  
5 Elkton, Maryland, that they never had sex together and that she  
6 married him as a favor, so he could get his Green Card and stay  
7 in the United States. He did give her some money, but the money  
8 really wasn't important. She did this as a favor to him.

9 Q Did Ms. Britt, in this statement, implicate a person as far  
10 as arranging this sham marriage?

11 A Yes, she did. It was Patrick Sesay.

12 Q Now, are you familiar with a statement made by Patrick Sesay  
13 in March of 1993?

14 A Yes, I am.

15 Q And again, where did this statement take place at?

16 A This statement was executed at 1600 Callow Hill Street in  
17 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

18 Q And this was a sworn statement?

19 A Yes, it was.

20 Q And this statement occurred after Mr. Sesay was advised of  
21 his Miranda rights and waived those rights?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And what did Mr. Sesay say in the statement concerning the  
24 marriage of Mr. Osman to Curly Britt?

25 A That he arranged the sham marriage between Semi Osman and

1 Curly Britt. He charged Semi \$400 to arrange the marriage, so  
2 that he could get his Green Card. Semi paid Mr. Sesay \$200 of  
3 the \$400. Mr. Sesay introduced Curly Britt to Semi Osman at her  
4 house on Cambridge Street, and Donald Colburn took Curly and  
5 Semi Osman to Elkton, Maryland to fill out the marriage  
6 application and for the marriage ceremony.

7 Patrick Sesay also took Curly and Semi to an attorney by the  
8 name of Bruce O'Neill at his office. And Mr. Sesay says he has  
9 not seen Semi Osman since he took them to the attorney.

10 Q I should ask you, this investigation occurring in  
11 Philadelphia that led to these sworn statements was an  
12 investigation of whom?

13 A It was actually an investigation of the marriage arranger,  
14 Patrick Sesay.

15 Q And was he, in fact, convicted for arranging marriages?

16 A Yes, he was.

17 Q Where did this conviction occur?

18 A In the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the United States  
19 District Court. On September 21st of 1995, Mr. Sesay was  
20 convicted of 18 USC, Section 371, which was conspiracy to commit  
21 offense against the United States. Also of Title 18 United  
22 States Code, Section 1001, false statement to an agency of the  
23 United States. And Section 2, aiding and abetting.

24 Q And do the documents from the Eastern District of  
25 Pennsylvania reveal how many sham marriages Mr. Sesay was



1 charged with?

2 A Yes. There was 22 marriages.

3 Q Finally, Special Agent Smalley, was Mr. Osman interviewed on  
4 May 17th of 2002?

5 A Yes, he was.

6 Q And do you know who conducted this interview?

7 A I conducted an interview, along with Special Agent Kim  
8 Highfield of the Naval Criminal Intelligence Service.

9 Q And this interview occurred where?

10 A At the district offices of the United States Immigration and  
11 Naturalization Service here in Seattle, Washington.

12 Q And before the interview started, was Mr. Osman advised of  
13 his Miranda warnings?

14 A Yes, he was.

15 Q And are those warnings actually printed on the statement  
16 form?

17 A Yes, they are.

18 Q And did Mr. Osman indicate whether or not he understood  
19 those rights?

20 A Yes, he did. He attached a signature with a date and time.

21 Q And did he waive those rights?

22 A He certainly did.

23 Q And did he waive that in writing?

24 A Yes, he did.

25 Q What, if anything, during this interview did Mr. Osman say

1 to you concerning his marriage to Curly Britt?

2 A He admitted that he did--let's see. He arranged the  
3 marriage through Patrick Sesay, paid Patrick Sesay money for  
4 arranging the marriage. He also paid Curly Britt in  
5 appreciation for her helping him to become a permanent resident  
6 alien. He knew that it was wrong, and he hated the fact that he  
7 was marrying her. He never consummated the marriage through  
8 sexual intercourse, and they were soon divorced.

9 Q And did he indicate why he went through this marriage?

10 A Yes, he did. So he could become--so he could stay in the  
11 United States legally.

12 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I don't have any further  
13 questions.

14 THE COURT: Cross of the witness?

15 MR. LEEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. LEEN:

18 Q Special Agent Smalley, Mr. Osman has an A-file?

19 A Yes, he does.

20 Q And he's given a number?

21 A Yes, he is.

22 Q Do you have Mr. Osman's number?

23 A Just a moment. A29526092.

24 Q A29526--I'm sorry?

25 A --092.

1 Q Now, when it came--Mr. Osman originally applied--the  
2 procedure is that Curly Britt will file a petition on behalf of  
3 her alien spouse, who would be Semi Osman?

4 A Well, when you say "the procedure," Curly Britt filed a  
5 petition on behalf of her alien spouse.

6 Q All right. Let me get into it this way.

7 This is a common way in which aliens apply for resident  
8 alien status, to be married to a United States citizen?

9 A Yes. If they're already in the United States, first they  
10 need to adjust their status. And also, that status is  
11 determinate on their marriage to a United States citizen.

12 Q So after the fraudulent marriage took place, which--the  
13 marriage took place for the purpose of filing this petition.  
14 That's your Service's position. Is that correct?

15 A Well, the marriage--they were legally married in the state  
16 of Washington, to my knowledge.

17 But the purposes of that marriage and the filing of those  
18 documents with the Immigration Service was for a fraudulent  
19 application.

20 THE COURT: You said "the state of Washington." You  
21 meant Maryland, did you?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes I did, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

23 Q (BY MR. LEEN) And so when did you become aware of this?  
24 When did it come to light that Curly Britt had entered into this  
25 marriage for this purpose and Semi Osman had entered into the

1 marriage for this purpose? When did INS find out about that?

2 A INS actually became aware of this sometime after August of  
3 2000.

4 Q Did the agency take a statement from Curly Britt at some  
5 earlier time?

6 A The agency did. Yes, indeed.

7 Q And when did it?

8 A As I earlier testified, the agents from Philadelphia took a  
9 statement in 1991. I believe that's October 1st.

10 Q All right. And was that, then, put into Mr. Osman's  
11 permanent alien file?

12 A It was put into Mr. Osman's permanent alien file, but I have  
13 no idea when it was put into Mr. Osman's permanent alien file.

14 Q Well, if a statement is taken by an INS official and there's  
15 an A-number on it, do you put it into the file so that you can  
16 index it?

17 A If I have possession of the file, I would put it into the  
18 file.

19 Q Is there some kind of entry made on the computer for the  
20 person's A-number that there's a sham marriage that's been  
21 discovered?

22 A I don't know of any computer system that existed at that  
23 time, no.

24 Q Okay. Because I'm wondering--in December of 1992, Mr. Osman  
25 had another petition for alien relative filed on his behalf by

1 his wife, Pamela Harris.

2 A Correct.

3 Q Is that correct?

4 A Yes, it is.

5 Q And that was dated December 8th, 1992, that he applied for  
6 resident alien status as a spouse of a United States citizen.

7 A I believe that's correct. I can check my file. And I do  
8 know that that was in a different district.

9 Q All right. And it has the same A-number?

10 A I believe so.

11 Q And it was acted upon, and he was given resident alien  
12 status?

13 A Yes, he was.

14 Q All right. The original petition with Curly Britt, now that  
15 came to light because of an investigation of a man named Patrick  
16 Sesay?

17 A That's my understanding. Yes, it is.

18 Q And he was--he was the type of guy who knew how to  
19 manipulate the INS system for people who wanted to get resident  
20 alien status.

21 A Well, he was convicted of crimes that relate to those kinds  
22 of offenses. Yes, indeed.

23 Q Now, he gathered a number of women, didn't he, maybe 5, 10,  
24 15 women to participate in this conspiracy?

25 A I don't know what you mean by "gathered women." He helped

1 facilitate a number of fraudulent marriages.

2 Q And the investigation showed that, in fact, there was like  
3 at least 22 marriages that he arranged.

4 A Yes, that's correct.

5 Q And all of the applicants, the alien applicants, were  
6 Sierra Leone men who had just come to the country, were here a  
7 relatively short period of time and he found wives for them?

8 A I don't know if they're all from Sierra Leone or not.

9 Q Well, there was a prosecutorial memorandum prepared by the  
10 United States Attorney's Office regarding the prosecution of  
11 Patrick Sesay. You're aware of that, aren't you?

12 A Yes, I am.

13 Q And that was in March of 1995, that the decision was made  
14 whether to prosecute Mr. Sesay for all of the events that took  
15 place in 1990, 1991?

16 A I don't know if a decision was made at that time or not. I  
17 know that Mr. Sesay was eventually convicted, so there was  
18 probably some decision at some point to proceed with the  
19 prosecution of Mr. Sesay.

20 Q And all of the women who entered into this, these fraudulent  
21 marriages, they were allowed to withdraw their petition. They  
22 weren't prosecuted, were they?

23 A I don't know what happened to all the women that were  
24 involved in these cases.

25 Q Well, you're aware that the recommendation in the file for

1 at least Curly Britt is to allow her to withdraw the petition?

2 A I don't recall that. Where are you finding that in the  
3 file?

4 Q You see that part of the investigatory note?

5 A It says voluntary withdrawal of the petition. But this is  
6 dated March 24th of 1993. And the petition, as I understand,  
7 was actually abandoned after Semi Osman and Curly Britt found  
8 out that Patrick Sesay was under investigation. So by this  
9 time, Semi Osman was already married to Pamela Jill Harris.

10 Q Wasn't Curly Britt allowed to withdraw her petition? She  
11 was never prosecuted for the fraudulent petition?

12 A I think that's two different statements. I don't know  
13 whether or not she's ever been prosecuted, and I don't know  
14 whether or not she's ever been allowed to withdraw her petition.  
15 I show nothing in the A-file that shows that she withdrew her  
16 petition.

17 Q Okay. One second.

18 Isn't it true that Mr. Osman abandoned his petition by not  
19 showing up at an interview?

20 A It appears that way.

21 Q Isn't that what the document says?

22 A Can you tell me which document you're referring to?

23 Q Sure. There's a document that's called--it sets an  
24 appointment. I don't know what the document's called. It's  
25 back in '91 that these letters were sent to Semi Osman, and they

1 were telling him that his application or petition will be deemed  
2 abandoned unless he responds to this request.

3 A I believe that's the Immigration Service, then, telling the  
4 petitioner, Semi Osman that his petition will be assumed to be  
5 abandoned if he doesn't respond.

6 Q And that was--and it was deemed abandoned before he applied  
7 through the marriage of Pamela Harris. Is that correct? It was  
8 abandoned. The former petition of Curly Britt was abandoned,  
9 and then he later applied for lawful resident alien status as  
10 the spouse of Pamela Harris. Correct?

11 A Yeah. I think that would be correct.

12 Q All right. Now, at the time that Mr. Osman was arrested in  
13 this case in May of 2002, INS had Mr. Osman listed in what kind  
14 of status in the United States?

15 A Well, at that time Mr. Osman was a permanent resident, based  
16 on his marriage to Pamela Jill Harris.

17 Q All right. So if someone looked at the--if someone was  
18 asked, "What is the status of this individual," that's what INS  
19 would say?

20 A Well, I think probably what somebody would say if they  
21 looked at the entire A-file is that Mr. Osman had been granted  
22 permanent resident alien status, and a further review of the  
23 file shows that he had been involved in marriage fraud.

24 Q So is there a procedure that can take place to adjust that  
25 status because of a prior fraud?



1 A To adjust that status?

2 Q Well, I mean, let's say that INS found out about the fact  
3 that a lawful resident alien had a prior fraud in his--in his  
4 application process. Is there a way that you can act on that so  
5 that you can take away the lawful resident alien status?

6 A Not only is there a way to do that; this happened in this  
7 case, where Semi Osman was arrested on the 17th of May and put  
8 into immigration proceedings based on the fraudulent marriage.  
9 They were seeking to revoke his status as a permanent resident  
10 alien.

11 Q And is there a notice you have to issue procedurally to do  
12 that?

13 A Yes. It's called a Notice to Appear.

14 Q And when did the Notice to Appear get issued?

15 A I believe that was on the 7th of May.

16 Q On May 7th?

17 A I believe so.

18 Q Could you look?

19 A I'm not sure if it's contained in this file that I have  
20 here. It may be contained in the original A-file, and I don't  
21 have a complete copy.

22 Q Oh. At--

23 MR. LEEN: Let me see. I may have one other point,  
24 Your Honor.

25 That's all I have. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Further questions?

2 MR. HAMILTON: No questions, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Let me ask a few questions.

4 EXAMINATION

5 BY THE COURT:

6 Q You indicated that he entered the United States on a British  
7 passport on 12/22 of '88, New York City.

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And he had a B-2 visitor visa. And you said that the  
10 standard length is six months. Do we know what his period of  
11 being a visitor here was?

12 A Well, actually, that passport was reported stolen and he  
13 received a replacement passport. It's actually probably in the  
14 immigration--there's a system called the Non-Immigrant  
15 Information System which would show the length of admission,  
16 And I'm not sure if I have a copy of that. I can look.

17 Q Well, is there a time after his entry into the United States  
18 when he became an unlawful visitor in this country as a result  
19 of overstaying his visa?

20 A Well, as soon as his length of admission was up, he was  
21 illegally in the United States. And many times that's why  
22 individuals get married to United States citizens, is so they  
23 can immediately become legalized in the United States.

24 Q So my question to you is: When did he become illegally here  
25 after entering?

1 A Just a moment, Your Honor.

2 I don't have a copy of the Non-Immigrant Information System  
3 printout which would actually show his admission dates.

4 Q You indicated he entered the country on December 22nd of  
5 1988.

6 A Right. That's correct.

7 Q How long did he remain, if you know, in a legal status here  
8 as a visitor?

9 A He would have remained in status as a visitor until the  
10 point that his visa admission ran out. When you--

11 Q All right. And when was that? That's what I'm trying to  
12 understand.

13 A I would have to determine that from the records from the  
14 Non-Immigrant Information System computer.

15 Q So the answer is you don't know.

16 A I am not positive.

17 Q All right. As I understand it, he applied for permanent  
18 residency in November of 1990 as a result of his marriage to  
19 Curly Britt.

20 A Correct.

21 Q And is it correct that that application was subsequently  
22 abandoned?

23 A He never showed up for--I know there was at least three  
24 call-in letters that he failed to show up for. I believe the  
25 Service indicated that they would be considering his application

1 abandoned if he didn't show up.

2 Q All right. And you can't tell us today whether, when he  
3 applied for permanent residency as a result of that fraudulent  
4 marriage, he was legally in the United States or not.

5 A I'm sorry. Would you repeat the question?

6 Q Yeah. You can't tell us today whether or not, when he  
7 applied for that permanent residency as a result of that  
8 marriage, he was legally here.

9 A I do know I can tell you that he was illegally in the United  
10 States at that point, because I do know from reviewing the  
11 records in the past that there was no extension which allowed  
12 him to be in the United States on the day he filed that--

13 Q So sometime prior to November 16th of 1990, we can say that  
14 he was illegally here.

15 A Yes.

16 Q All right. Now, as a result of the application for  
17 residency as a result of that marriage to Curly Britt, the  
18 government did not change his status. Is that right?

19 A Following his marriage to Curly Britt, no. They did not  
20 change his status on his marriage to Curly Britt, because they  
21 failed to show up for their appointments with the Immigration  
22 and Naturalization Service.

23 So he continued to be out of status, because he actually had  
24 no status at that point.

25 Q Was he legally a resident of the country as a result of

1 filing the application?

2 A No.

3 Q Now, he apparently entered into a second marriage with a  
4 Pamela Jill Harris in February of 1992?

5 A That's correct, Your Honor.

6 Q And in connection with that, he filed a petition or Harris  
7 filed a petition to classify alien relative?

8 A Yes, she did.

9 Q And he filed and signed an INS Form I-485, application for  
10 permanent residence?

11 A That's correct, Your Honor.

12 Q And am I correct that in December of '92, that request was  
13 approved and he was granted status as a lawful permanent  
14 resident?

15 A Yes, he was. That's December 8th, 1992 in the Baltimore  
16 district.

17 Q Is that his status today?

18 A It is his status at this point, until he goes before an  
19 immigration judge and that judge makes a determination based on  
20 the Notice to Appear that's been filed in which we have charged  
21 him with fraudulently obtaining his permanent residency.

22 Q So can we then summarize by saying that he entered the  
23 country legally under a visitor visa, that he stayed for--longer  
24 than the visa period, we don't know exactly what that visa  
25 period was, and that in December of '92 he was granted a lawful

1 permanent resident status and he has that status today?

2 A Yes. And actually on that petition, the I-130 which was  
3 filed in conjunction with his marriage to Pamela Jill Harris, he  
4 states--or she states that he lost his I-94. And the I-94 is  
5 the entry record. It's a small white card placed in a passport  
6 with a date stamp of when a person entered and how long they  
7 were admitted until.

8 And it states that he arrived on 12/22/88, and under the  
9 next line it says: Date authorized stay expired or will expire.  
10 And it shows that on February 22nd, 1989, his length of  
11 admission had expired.

12 Q Give me that--February 22nd--

13 A February 22nd, 1989.

14 Q That would only be three months after he entered the  
15 country.

16 A That's correct.

17 Q So I thought you said that normally it was six months or  
18 longer.

19 A It is six months. He could have entered, actually, as a  
20 visa waiver as a holder of a British passport. Which doesn't  
21 mean that you need to have a visa to enter the United States;  
22 that you can show up with a passport that doesn't have a visa in  
23 it, and you enter the United States.

24 Q Can you tell me today under oath that his lawful status  
25 ended on February 22nd, of '89?

1 A Well, his own words. Yes, indeed, it did.

2 Q When you say "his own words"--

3 A This is part of his application he filed with the  
4 Immigration Service.

5 Q And that's what he said.

6 A That's what he said. And his passport he reported lost or  
7 stolen--he reported it stolen. So we actually don't have the  
8 physical evidence of that passport with that I-94 card in it.

9 Q Well, the statute that I must interpret today defines a  
10 prohibited person as one who is an alien illegally or unlawfully  
11 in the United States.

12 As of today, he's legally in the United States. Is that  
13 right?

14 A He is the holder of a permanent resident card. He has been  
15 placed in proceedings. Those proceedings have not ended due to  
16 his incarceration here.

17 Q Is he lawfully here?

18 A Your Honor, I can't interpret the law. All I can do is give  
19 you the facts.

20 Q All right.

21 THE COURT: Lawyers have any questions as a result of  
22 my questions?

23 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.

24 MR. LEEN: May I ask two questions, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: Certainly.

1 RECCROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. LEEN:

3 Q Agent Smalley, at the time that Mr. Osman applied for--or  
4 excuse me. At the time that Pamela Harris applied for the  
5 petition for alien relative, did--they did disclose the prior  
6 marriage to Curly Britt, did they not?

7 A As I recall, yes, indeed, they did state that there was a  
8 previous marriage. There's nothing stated on that application  
9 that it was a fraudulent marriage or that it was a marriage with  
10 the intent to gain immigration status.

11 Q And a copy of the divorce decree was appended to the  
12 application.

13 A Yes, it was. It's contained within the A-file.

14 MR. LEEN: No further questions. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.

16 All right. Government have any further witnesses?

17 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Government wish to argue with respect to  
19 the status of the defendant for purposes of the prohibited  
20 person?

21 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, I think we're bound by the  
22 plea agreement, and so we have nothing to say at this time.

23 Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Leen?

25 MR. LEEN: Thank you, Your Honor.



1       Your Honor, I think that the term "prohibited person" turns  
2 on status. And that is one of the very rare things that  
3 is--really, you don't go behind. Just like you don't go behind  
4 whether a person's a convicted felon. The defense wouldn't be  
5 allowed to say: Well, we would like to establish the facts of  
6 that conviction to show that you really should not deal with it  
7 in terms of what it does to the defendant's guidelines.

8       I don't think you go behind the status that he's a resident  
9 alien, at least not when it's for the purposes of sentencing  
10 like this. If it were an element of an offense, that might be a  
11 different--that might be a different ballgame. But when you're  
12 talking about sentencing and he says he's a lawful resident  
13 alien and the government says he's a lawful resident alien, I  
14 think the Court needs to give due deference to that status.

15       It's not a factual thing; it's a status. It's conferred by  
16 the executive.

17       And so we ask that you follow the plea agreement and not try  
18 to determine how an administrative law judge would resolve this.  
19 Because courts and administrative agencies are much, much  
20 different in their decision-making process. I wish sometimes  
21 administrative agencies were more like the courts, but they're  
22 not.

23       And in this case he has not lost that status. It has not  
24 gone through that procedure. It would be premature for the  
25 Court to say that he's a prohibited person.

1 Thank you.

2 THE COURT: Let me ask the parties whether there are  
3 any objections to the facts stated in the pre-sentence report.

4 MR. LEEN: One second, Your Honor.

5 Yes, Your Honor. We agree with the facts.

6 THE COURT: And it goes without saying, but let me ask.  
7 Did the defendant receive a copy of the pre-sentence report and  
8 sentencing recommendations?

9 MR. LEEN: He did, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 Well, I'm going to make the following--what I'm going to do  
12 for purposes of sentencing, now whether I'll actually sentence  
13 him today or not I'll wait and hear from the lawyers on how they  
14 wish--how they think I should proceed. But the issue of his  
15 guideline range is something that we started to determine at the  
16 original hearing and needs to be determined now.

17 First I'm going to adopt as facts the facts stated in the  
18 pre-sentence report.

19 And for purposes of the issue before the Court on this  
20 prohibited person status, the Court specifically notes that  
21 the--and finds that the defendant did enter the United States  
22 lawfully with a British passport on December 22nd of 1988. He  
23 had a visitor visa, and by his own admissions he apparently was  
24 lawfully in the United States until February 22nd of 1989.

25 Thereafter he, by his own admissions and by the facts stated

1 in the pre-sentence report, entered into a fraudulent marriage  
2 with one Curly Britt. And he paid someone to arrange the  
3 marriage. He paid Curly Britt to participate in the marriage.  
4 The marriage was never consummated, and it was procured by  
5 fraud.

6 The defendant did apply for a permanent residency in  
7 November of 1990 based on that fraudulent marriage. He filed an  
8 I-485 application for permanent resident. But no action was  
9 taken as the defendant did not follow up and appear for an  
10 interview, and no status change occurred as a result of that  
11 fraudulent marriage.

12 That marriage by law ended in divorce granted by the  
13 Superior Court of the District of Columbia in 1991.

14 In February of 1992 he entered into a second marriage to a  
15 United States citizen, Pamela Jill Harris. And in April Harris  
16 filed a petition to classify alien relative for the issuance of  
17 an immediate visa. Osman executed a Form G-325 and signed an  
18 application Form I-485 to become a permanent resident.

19 The defendant did not notify the INS that his previous  
20 marriage had been a fraudulent marriage, and on December 8th of  
21 1992 the INS Form I-485 was approved by the INS and he was  
22 granted the status of a lawful permanent resident by the INS.

23 The Court finds that although he was divorced from Pamela  
24 Harris in March of 1996, there was and has never been to this  
25 date any change in his legal status in the United States. That

1 is, that from approximately December of 1992 he has had the  
2 legal status of a lawful permanent resident granted by the INS.

3 Now, that status may change. The INS is in the proceedings  
4 of--has issued notice and will hereafter at some point no doubt  
5 have a hearing. And the Court is not going to speculate as to  
6 what the outcome of that hearing may be.

7 The question is what's his status today. And more  
8 importantly, what was his status at the time he committed the  
9 instant offense. He has pled guilty to possession of a firearm  
10 with an obliterated serial number, and those events occurred in  
11 May of 2002 when a search warrant was executed at his apartment  
12 in Tacoma.

13 If he was a, quote, prohibited person under 18 USC,  
14 Section 922(g)(5), he would be assessed two additional points  
15 for guideline computations. A definition of "prohibited person"  
16 refers to someone being an alien--he's obviously an alien--who  
17 is illegally or unlawfully in the United States at the time of  
18 the offense.

19 Because the defendant was granted legal permanent status by  
20 the INS and because that status has not changed, for purposes of  
21 sentencing the Court finds that he was not and is not a  
22 prohibited person under the law as of the time he committed the  
23 offense. The adjustment of two points will not be assessed, and  
24 therefore the guideline computations for purposes of sentencing  
25 will be as follows.

1       The base offense level is a Level 12. Because there was a  
2       firearm involved, two points are added to go to a Level 14. I  
3       believe two points should be taken from the 14, would be a  
4       Level 3 (sic). I know the pre-sentence report assessed--took  
5       three points off. But--because of the level, only two points  
6       are permitted. And his Criminal History Category is zero  
7       criminal history points, Category I. His guideline range is  
8       8 to 14 months.

9       Any objection to the Court's computation of his guidelines  
10      for purposes of sentencing?

11           MR. LEEN: I'm sorry. The Court said 12--I think you  
12      misspoke. I think he's a Level 10, Your Honor, which is 6 to  
13      12--

14           THE COURT: Just a moment.

15           MR. LEEN: --based on your finding.

16           THE COURT: Well, the base offense level is--I believe  
17      is a 12, is it not?

18           MS. YUHASZ: Yes, Your Honor. However, I think the  
19      issue here--and I'm looking for it--is we had applied a specific  
20      offense characteristic because of the obliterated serial number.  
21      And I think that there was an application note that if it's  
22      found that the defendant is not a prohibited person, that that  
23      enhancement does not apply.

24           THE COURT: So the two points does not apply.

25           MS. YUHASZ: I believe so.

1 THE COURT: Government agree?

2 MR. HAMILTON: Yes, Your Honor.

3 MR. LEEN: So it's a four-point difference, actually.

4 THE COURT: All right. So base offense level is 12,  
5 two points off for acceptance is a 10, Criminal History  
6 Category I: 6 to 12 months.

7 Is that where we are? Any objection to those calculations?

8 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. LEEN: No, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Now, does the government and  
11 the defendant wish to proceed to sentencing now?

12 MR. HAMILTON: Will the Court hear from me?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. HAMILTON: Your Honor, we again renew our request  
15 that sentencing be put off until April.

16 And as I have stated, this is something that the parties  
17 agreed to at the time of the plea. If the Court was to sentence  
18 Mr. Osman in April to credit for time served at that point, he  
19 will have served 11 months, which is less than the high end of  
20 this particular guideline range.

21 Mr. Leen asked the Court to uphold the plea agreement when  
22 it came to the issue about whether or not it's a prohibited  
23 person. We ask the Court to uphold the same plea agreement on  
24 this matter of the continuance. I submit that it's in the  
25 government's best interests. It's in Mr. Osman's best

1 interests. There are reasons which we have documented before  
2 the Court in our previous pleas.

3 Mr. Osman struck a deal that had certain benefits to him,  
4 and he was agreeable to this provision that we obtain a  
5 continuance. And the agreement was he contemplated serving a  
6 full year. We're not asking that. We just ask that it be  
7 continued to April.

8 Thank you.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Leen?

10 MR. LEEN: Yes, Your Honor.

11 Your Honor, it is true the defendant did agree to  
12 continuances up to one year without conditions as a condition of  
13 the plea agreement.

14 And I think what happened here--because it's untoward for me  
15 to not keep our end of the bargain when the government's kept  
16 its end of the bargain. But I think it's important to  
17 understand what's happened here.

18 At the time that the plea was taken--and I think the  
19 government even asked for a sentence to be set out commensurate  
20 with the idea that they were going to be continuing to work on  
21 this matter and we shouldn't rush to sentence, since we had  
22 agreed--the defense had agreed that the government could have a  
23 year to prepare for that day in their discretion, as long as it  
24 was reasonably exercised.

25 And the Court said: Well, I think I want to set the

1 sentencing in October. Because, you know, I'm not bound to  
2 necessarily abide by that. And I might feel that a sentence of  
3 less time is appropriate, and I don't want to--I don't think  
4 that the government's desire to continue sentencing should  
5 override that feeling, if that's the way I want to sentence him.

6 And I think that that--we welcome the Court thinking that  
7 way. But Mr. Osman has always felt that we really shouldn't be  
8 agreeing to continuances of the sentencing without coming to the  
9 Court and letting the Court make that decision. And that's  
10 really what drives our position today.

11 If you think that Mr. Osman should receive less than a--near  
12 the high end as a sentence, then I think that that should  
13 motivate you to sentence today. If you think that you're going  
14 to sentence nearer the high end, then I guess the same--the  
15 government's interests in delaying sentencing should prevail.

16 I think that Mr. Osman should be sentenced to eight months,  
17 actually. And I think that he should--he has an INS hold, and  
18 they'll probably continue to hold him at the FDC. So I don't  
19 think his situation is going to change much.

20 But I think it's important to state that we did agree to a  
21 continuance. And the government upheld its end of the bargain  
22 completely, and we're going to uphold our end of the bargain  
23 completely too. So I'm not objecting to the continuance of  
24 sentencing. I'm just saying there are some arguments and  
25 reasons why we're here today earlier than when we bargained for.



1 Thank you, sir.

2 THE COURT: Well, the defendant has agreed to cooperate  
3 with the government. He's agreed to testify at a trial set for  
4 March 31st before Judge Coughenour in a different criminal case.  
5 The defendant did agree that his sentencing date may be delayed  
6 based on the United States' need for his continued cooperation,  
7 and he agrees not to object to any continuance of the sentencing  
8 date. The Court's sentence will be--and whether the Court  
9 determines that a departure is appropriate. But all of those  
10 things will have to await his completion of his cooperation with  
11 the government, at least with respect to that trial.

12 I'm going to continue the sentencing date until sometime in  
13 early April after he has testified in that case.

14 Madam Clerk, may we have a new sentencing date?

15 (Discussion off the record.)

16 THE COURT: We'll set it for Friday, April 11th at  
17 1:30. Anything further to come before the Court this morning?

18 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor.

19 MR. LEEN: No, Your Honor.


20 (The proceedings concluded at 11:04 a.m.)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## CERTIFICATE

I, Caroline R. Castle, court reporter for the United States District Court in the Western District of Washington at Seattle, was present in court during the foregoing matter and reported said proceedings stenographically.

I further certify that thereafter, I, Caroline R. Castle, have caused said stenographic notes to be transcribed via computer, and that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability.

Dated this 4th day of February, 2003.

  
Caroline R. Castle

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES:	PAGE
3	For the Government:	
3	DARRICK D. SMALLEY	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamilton	2
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Leen	10
5	Examination by the Court	18
5	Recross-Examination by Mr. Leen	24
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		